

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,277	01/10/2002	Eric M. DoBrava	1001.1482101	8439	
26191	7590 08/24/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			NGUYEN, VI X		
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3731	3731	
•					

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					
• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/044,277	DOBRAVA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Victor X. Nguyen	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 J	<u>luly 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,	· ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 23-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 and 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,277

Art Unit: 3731

, , , **, ,**

DETAILED ACTION

1. The request filed on 7/5/2005 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/044,277 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acker et al (6,605,084).

Acker et al disclose, in figs. 18, 20, a catheter system that meets the limitations of claim 1, including: an elongate shaft (310), a radially extendible collection array (312) disposes about a portion of the elongate shaft and near the distal end of the elongate shaft, where the radially extendible collection array has one or more collection lumens (307,308) which is capable of receiving the core material at the periphery of the blood vessel. As to claims 3-7, where the collection array is radially extendible which includes a hydraulic mechanism which comprises a balloon (362). It is interpreted that the catheter is only a part of the recitation of intended use in the preamble of claim 1. Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural

Application/Control Number: 10/044,277

Art Unit: 3731

٠, ١, ١

limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Acker et al reference which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claims 1-18 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peacock III, (6,234,995).

Peacock III discloses a medical device system that meets the limitations of claims 1-6. The device includes an elongate shaft (41), a radially extendible collection array (70, see col. 18, lines 64-67, col. 19, lines 1-14) comprises a plurality of lumens (see col. 18, lines 20-37) disposed about a portion of the elongate shaft that having outer circumferential walls (44) spaced away from inner circumferential walls (43) by radial spacing members, where the collection array is radially extendible and collapsible (see col. 18, lines 60-67), where the means for radially extendible and collapsible includes a hydraulic mechanism that comprises a balloon (71).

As to claims 7-12, Peacock III discloses in fig.3b, the first circumferential wall defines at least in part an outer surface of a balloon (71), and where the first and second circumferential walls are arranged such that the collection array is collapsible into a predefined shape (see col. 18, lines 33-44).

As to claims 13-18, Peacock III discloses the distal end of the collection array includes a plurality of collection ports (46'), and the proximal end of the collection array includes a plurality of retrieval ports (68), where the retrieval ports are fluidly connectible to a suction means (250), and where at least one collection lumen provides fluid communication between at

Application/Control Number: 10/044,277

Art Unit: 3731

least one collection port and at least one retrieval port. As to claims 23-25, the device further has one or more retrieval ports that corresponds to the one or more collection lumens (fig. 9), where at least one axially directed collection port is directed away from the proximal end of the elongate shaft (fig. 8c), and where at least one axially directed collection port is directed toward the proximal end of the elongate shaft (fig. 9). It is interpreted that the catheter is only a part of the recitation of intended use in the preamble of claim 1. Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Peacock et al reference which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is asked to please refer to the modified prior art rejection above wherein examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen VN 8/15/05 Julian W. WOO PRIMARY EXAMINES